

Cell Biology International 28 (2004) 327-328



www.elsevier.com/locate/cellbi

Commentary

Signal transmission forces at the cell membrane under debate

Wolfgang H. Goldmann^{a*}, Gerhard Isenberg^b

^a Department of Medicine, Renal Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Rm 8200, Building 149, 13th Street, Charlestown, MA 02129, USA

^bTechnical University of Munich, Department of Biophysics, E22 Garching, Germany

Received 15 September 2003; accepted 15 November 2003

The advancement of techniques to measure nano- to piconewton forces has found a natural arena in Life Sciences in recent years. Countless biological processes have been examined, from DNA replication to proteinprotein interaction, and many others (Clausen-Schaumann et al., 2000; Vinckier et al., 1998; Weisel et al., 2003). Commonly used techniques employed in these measurements are atomic force microscopy (AFM) and optical tweezers. More recently, biological researchers have tailored the application of these techniques to address questions of folding and refolding forces of single molecules and to measure the rupture force of molecular adhesion (Grubmueller et al., 1996; Rief et al., 1997). Forces for single molecules to unfold using AFM, like individual domains of titin, ranged from 150-300 piconewton depending on the pulling speed (Rief et al., 1997), whilst the rupture force, e.g. of a fibronectin-integrin complex, using AFM and laser tweezers was on average between 80–120 piconewton (Li et al., 2003; Litvinov et al., 2002). Recent structural analyses of $av\beta$ 3-integrin have shed more light on how it interacts with fibronectin (Xiong et al., 2001), and how internal, as well as external, forces act on cells (Xiong et al., 2003). The research interest of many laboratories has focused on how the mechanical link between integrins, membrane-associated proteins like talin, and the actin cytoskeleton mediates signal and force transduction across the plasma membrane (Garcia-Alvarez et al., 2003; Von Wichert et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2002).

Past experimental data have shown that talin serves as a key protein linking the cytoskeleton to the extracellular matrix (Isenberg and Goldmann, 1992). The binding of talin to actin has independently been reported by two groups (Kaufmann et al., 1991; Muguruma et al., 1990), and stopped flow measurements indicated 'on' and 'off' rates of 7×10^6 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and 2–3 s⁻¹, respectively (Goldmann and Isenberg, 1991), which are similar to integrin binding to talin and fibronectin (Goldmann, 2000). From the biochemical point of view, these kinetic data reflect average strong and stable binding, which cannot be broken by an externally applied force of only 1–2 piconewton, as recently reported by Jiang et al. (2003), when the rupture of the fibronectin–integrin complex requires much higher forces.

To explain the findings of Jiang et al. (2003), one has to consider that talin not only binds to actin as a dumbbell-shaped, 51 nm long, flexible homodimer (Goldmann et al., 1994) whose subunits are arranged in an antiparallel fashion, but also inserts into the hydrophobic region of lipid membranes, allowing the promotion of actin filament assembly proximal to the membrane (Kaufmann et al., 1992). A competent sequence domain for membrane-anchoring, amino acids 385-406 just preceding the calpain cleavage site, has been identified, and it has been demonstrated that this peptide folds into a five-loop a-helix in the presence of lipid vesicles prior to binding to lipid bilayers (Seelig et al., 2000). The strongly amphipathic *a*-helix (H17) has been resolved by crystal structure of the talin head portion (Garcia-Alvarez et al., 2003), and competitive binding of β_3 -integrin cytodomain and PIP₂-kinase to the same segment has recently been demonstrated (Barsukov et al., 2003). The binding of H17 to lipid bilayers most likely occurs in an oblique orientation (Isenberg et al., 2002), with one site facing the membrane, leaving the other, more charged half for integrin and PIP₂-kinase binding. Binding of the helical peptide alone to lipid bilayers was measured, rendering a partition coefficient of between 6.2×10^3 and 1.2×10^4 M⁻¹, depending on concentration (Seelig et al., 2000). This

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-617-726-5668; fax: +1-617-726-5671

E-mail address: goldmann@receptor.mgh.harvard.edu (W.H. Goldmann).

^{1065-6995/\$ -} see front matter 2004 Published by Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.cellbi.2003.11.021

relatively weak affinity, competent for transient interactions with phospholipid bilayers, may well be disturbed by 1–2 piconewton forces, which seems more plausible to us. Or are the forces simply the result of thermal noise in the system?

In conclusion, more detailed experimental work needs to be carried out in order to understand the complex nature of integrin-membrane-associated proteins like talin, vinculin, filamin (ABP-280), alpha-actinin and the actin cytoskeleton with regard to bi-directional force transmission and biochemical signaling.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschuugsgemeiuschaft (DFG) and North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO).

References

- Clausen-Schaumann H, Rief M, Tolksdorff C, Gaub HE. Mechanical stability of single DNA molecules. Biophys J 2000;78:1997–2007.
- Barsukov IL, Prescot A, Bate N, Patel B, Floyd DN, Bhanji N et al. Phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinase type 1 gamma and betalintegrin cytoplasmic domain bind to the same region in the talin FERM domain. J Biol Chem 2003;278:31202–9.
- Garcia-Alvarez B, De Pereda JM, Calderwood DA, Umer TS, Critchley DR, Campbell ID et al. Structural determinants of integrin recognition by talin. Mol Cell 2003;11:49–58.
- Goldmann WH, Isenberg G. Kinetic determination of talin-actin binding. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1991;178:718–23.
- Goldmann WH, Bremer A, Haner M, Aebi U, Isenberg G. Native talin is a dumbbell-shaped homodimer when it interacts with actin. J Struct Biol 1994;112:3–10.
- Goldmann WH. Kinetic determination of focal adhesion protein formation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2000;271:553–7.
- Grubmueller H, Heymann B, Tavan P. Ligand binding: molecular mechanics calculation of the streptavidin-biotin rupture force. Science 1996;271:997–9.
- Isenberg G, Goldmann WH. Actin-membrane coupling: a role for talin. J Muscle Res Cell Motil 1992;13:587–9.

- Isenberg G, Doerhoefer S, Hoekstra D, Goldmann WH. Membrane fusion induced by the major lipid-binding domain of the cytoskeletal protein talin. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2002; 295:636–43.
- Jiang G, Giannone G, Critchley DR, Fukumoto E, Sheetz MP. Two-piconewton slip bond between fibronectin and the cytoskeleton depends on talin. Nature 2003;424:334–7.
- Kaufmann S, Piekenbrock T, Goldmann WH, Baermann M, Isenberg G. Talin binds to actin and promotes filament nucleation. FEBS Lett 1991;284:187–91.
- Kaufmann S, Kaes J, Goldmann WH, Sackmann E, Isenberg G. Talin anchors and nucleates actin filaments at lipid membranes: a direct demonstration. FEBS Lett 1992;314:203–205.
- Li F, Redick SD, Erickson HP, Moy VT. Force measurements of the $a5\beta1$ integrin–fibronectin interaction. Biophys J 2003;84: 1252–62.
- Litvinov RI, Shuman H, Bennett JS, Weisel JW. Binding strength and activation state of single fibrinogen-integrin pairs on living cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002;99:7426–31.
- Muguruma M, Matsumura S, Fukazawa T. Direct interactions between talin and actin. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1990; 171:1217–23.
- Rief M, Gautel M, Oesterhelt F, Fernandez JM, Gaub HE. Reversible unfolding of individual titin immunoglobulin domains by AFM. Science 1997;276:1109–12.
- Seelig A, Blatter XL, Fretzel A, Isenberg G. Phospholipid binding of synthetic talin peptides provides evidence for an intrinsic membrane anchor of talin. J Biol Chem 2000;275:17954–61.
- Vinckier A, Gervasoni P, Zaugg F, Ziegler U, Lindner P, Groscurth P et al. Atomic force microscopy detects changes in the interaction forces between GroEL and substrate proteins. Biophys J 1998; 74:3256–63.
- Von Wichert G, Haimovich B, Feng GS, Sheetz MP. Force-dependent integrin-cytoskeleton linkage formation requires downregulation of focal complex dynamics by Shp2. EMBO J 2003;22:5023–35.
- Weisel JW, Shuman H, Litvinov RI. Protein-protein unbinding induced by force: single-molecule studies. Curr Opin Struct Biol 2003;13:227–35.
- Xiong JP, Stehle T, Diefenbach B, Zhang R, Dunker R, Scott DL et al. Crystal structure of the extracellular segment of integrin $av\beta$ 3. Science 2001;294:339–45.
- Xiong JP, Stehle T, Goodman SL, Arnaout MA. Integrins, cations and ligands: making the connection. J Thromb Haemost 2003; 1:1642–54.
- Zhang X, Wojcikiewicz E, Moy VT. Force spectroscopy of the leukocyte function-associated antigen-1/intercellular adhesion molecule interaction. Biophys J 2002;83:2270–9.